

Measuring Progress in Sex Offenders

Dynamic Assessment

Assessing Progress

Deviant Arousal

Deception

Psychopathy

Actuarial Risk

Criminogenic Factors

Social Skills

Cognitive Skills

Criminogenic Needs

Antisocial Behavior

Cognitive Distortions

Lack of Empathy

Modalities

Behavior in Treatment

Behavior on Ward/Outside

Psychometrics

Physiological Tests

Deviant Arousal

Plethysmograph

Abel Screen

How to Measure

Single Test Assessments?

Psychometrics

Behavioral Ratings on Ward

Behavior in Group

Multi-modal Assessments

Deception

Deceptive Behavior on Ward

Behavior in Group

Comparison of Disclosures w/ Records

P-graph and/or Abel Screen

Polygraph

STEP Dynamic Assessment

Report on British outpatient community sex offender treatment programs 1994

Report on British incarcerated community sex offender treatment programs 1999

STEP Battery

Self Esteem

UCLA Emotional Loneliness Scale

Social Response Inventory

Personal Distress (Interpersonal Reactivity)

Locus of Control

Admittance/Denial (MSI)

Beckett Victim Empathy Scale

Social Desirability Scale

(Beech, 1998)

STEP Domains

Admittance/Denial

Pro-offending attitudes

Social competence/accountability

(Beech, 1999)

High Deviance Offenders

Previous conviction for sexual assault

Large number of victims

Committed offenses outside home (or both inside & outside)

Boys or both sexes

(Beckett, 1994)

Low Deviance Offenders

Girls within the family

Not likely to have had a previous conviction

(Beckett, 1994)

Deviancy Offenders

1/3 incest offenders

(Beckett, 1994)

High STEP Dynamic Assessment

N = 140

Child Molesters

(Beech, 1999)

High Deviance Offenders

More victims than low deviancy

Offenses outside or inside & outside family

Offenses against boys or both sexes

Higher risk to reoffend

(Beech, 1998)

Impact of Treatment

Group	Over-all Tx Effect
Low Deviancy Low Denial	59%
Low Deviancy/ High Denial	17%

(Beech, 1999)

Over-all Treatment Effect

Changed to non-offending norms

Changes on both pro-offending attitudes and social competence measures

(Beech, 1999)

Impact of Treatment

Group	Pro-offending Attitudes	Over-all Tx Effect
High Deviancy	43%	14%

(Beech, 1999)

Denial in Adolescent

Sex Offenders

N = 204 males

Community-based treatment

Tx Compliance	Denial (%)		
	None	Some	Complete
No	27	49	71
Yes	73	52	29

(Hunter & Figuerdo, 1999)

Short Versus Long Programs

Both

Changes in Denial

Changes in pro-offending attitudes

(Beech, 1999)

Short Vs Longer Treatment

Low Deviancy/Low Denial

Group	Pro-offending Attitudes	Tx Effect	Over-all
Shorter	83%	61%	
Longer	86%		57%

(Beech, 1999)

Short Vs Longer Treatment

Low Deviancy/High Denial

Group	Pro-offending Attitudes	Tx Effect	Over-all
Shorter	64%		9%
Longer		77%	23%

(Beech, 1999)

Short Vs Longer Treatment
High Deviancy

Group	Pro-offending Attitudes	Tx Effect	Over-all
Shorter	27%	18%	
Longer	60%	10%	

(Beech, 1999)

Short Vs Longer Treatment
Maintaining Gains

9 Month Follow-up

Shorter	Less Open
Longer	Maintained Gains

(Beech, 1999)

Collaborative Dynamic Battery Domains

Deviant Sexual Interest

Cognitive Distortions

Affective/Interpersonal

Admittance/Denial

Collaborative Dynamic Battery
Psychometrics

Deviant Sexual Interests

Plethysmograph

Abel Screen

Collaborative Dynamic Battery
Psychometrics

Cognitive Distortions

Beckett Children & Sex

Justifications for Sex with Children

Hanson Entitlement Scale

Hanson Sexy Children

Hypermasculinity Scale

Hanson Empathy Scale for Women

Collaborative Dynamic Battery Psychometrics

Affective

Loneliness/Inadequacy

UCLA Emotional Loneliness Scale

Social Self-Esteem Scale

Social Response Inventory

Locus of Control

Collaborative Dynamic Battery Psychometrics

Affective

Anger/Paranoia/Grievance

Buss & Perry Aggression Scale

Rumination of Anger

Collaborative Dynamic Battery Psychometrics

Admittance/Denial (SOIQ)

Collaborative Dynamic Battery Psychometrics

Crown-Marlowe Social Desirability Scale